



DAMPIER TO BUNBURY NATURAL GAS PIPELINE STAGE 5 EXPANSION

2019 Annual Compliance Report (Ministerial Statement 735)

**E-REP-045** 

Revision 1 March 2020

Rev	Date	Description			
0.1	25/02/2020	Initial draft			
0.2	5/3/2020	Internal Review			
1	20/3/2020	Initial submission			

	Title	Name
Author	Senior HSE Advisor	Mark Brown
Revised	HSE Manager	Louise Watson
Approved	General Manager TAM	Tawake Rakai
Approved	Chief Executive Officer	Ben Wilson



# Table of Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	.1
2.	FINAL STATUS	.4
3.	AUDIT METHODOLOGY	.5
4.	AUDIT RESULTS	.7
5.	AUDIT TABLES	.8
6.	STATEMENT REGARDING COMPLIANCE	L4
7.	REFERENCES	L5
APPE	NDIX A: STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE	<b>L6</b>



## 1. INTRODUCTION

This report addresses the status and compliance of the Dampier to Bunbury Natural Gas Pipeline (DBNGP) Stage 5 Expansion with the conditions in Statement 735. This report has been prepared for the purpose of meeting the requirements of conditions 4–1 to 4–4 of the Statement, which is to submit annual compliance reports. This report covers the reporting period from 14 December 2018 to 13 December 2019.

## 1.1 Project Background

The DBNGP Stage 5 Looping Expansion project involved construction of eleven pipeline loops adjacent (and connected) to the existing DBNGP. Completion of the expansion resulted, ultimately, in completion of duplication of the pipeline from Dampier to Wagerup. The key characteristics of the proposal are presented in Table 1: The Stage 5 Looping Expansion project, which continues from Stage 4 (completed in 2006), involved a total length of approximately 1,270 km of pipeline.

The proposal for the Stage 5 Looping Expansion was approved for implementation under Part IV of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) with the issue of Statement No. 735 (the Statement) on 13 December 2006. A change to the proposal under s 45C of the EP Act to allow for construction within additional easements granted for the purposes of the DBNGP was approved on 5 August 2011. DBNGP (WA) Nominees Pty Limited, trading as Dampier Bunbury Pipeline (DBP), is the Proponent of the DBNGP Stage 5 Looping Expansion project.

The Statement requires submission of an annual compliance report to address the status and compliance of the DBNGP Stage 5 Looping Expansion project with Statement conditions and key actions in accordance with the annual compliance reporting requirements of conditions 4–1 to 4–4 of the Statement.

Implementation of the Stage 5 project commenced in February 2007 and was undertaken in three stages. The first stage (Stage 5A) was completed in March 2008. Stage 5B commenced in January 2009 and was effectively completed in early 2010, with the exception of the crossing of the Fortescue River. The Fortescue River Crossing section was undertaken over the period August 2011 to December 2011 inclusive. WestNet Energy (previously Alinta Asset Management) was contracted by DBP to provide project management for Stages 5A and 5B of the DBNGP Looping Expansion Project. DBP undertook project management of the Fortescue River crossing.

Of the total 1,270 km of the Stage 5 approved proposal, 1,011 km have now been constructed. DBP has reassessed the financial viability of full looping and no longer propose construction of the remaining 259 km. As such the construction phase of the project is now formally complete and all construction related conditions were completed.

### **1.2 The Proposal**

Table 1 presents the key characteristics of the proposal as presented in the environmental impact assessment report (Strategen 2006).

DBNGP (WA) Nominees Pty Limited trading as Dampier Bunbury Pipeline (DBP) remains the Proponent of the DBNGP Stage 5 Looping Expansion project and there has been no change in responsibility for proponency.



Aspect	Prop	osal						
Location	of co	There will be eleven loops. The first loop starts at about 2 km south of Dampier. The last loop is south of compressor station 10, which starts at about 17 km southeast of Rockingham, and ends at Wagerup West (Main Line Valve 144).						
Proposed action				50 mm in diameter, buried adjacent to the existing to the existing DBNGP to increase flow of natural gas.				
Total length of looping	Appr	oximately 1,27	70 km					
Characteristics of each loop	No.	Approx. length	Biogeographical region	Shires				
	0	137.2 km	Pilbara	Shire of Roebourne				
	1	123.3 km	Pilbara	Shire of Ashburton				
	2	104.9 km	Carnarvon, Gascoyne	Shire of Ashburton				
	3	113.0 km	Carnarvon, Gascoyne	Shire of Carnarvon				
	4	112.9 km	Carnarvon	Shire of Carnarvon, Shire of Upper Gascoyne				
	5	119.0 km	Carnarvon, Yalgoo	Shire of Shark Bay				
	6	131.0 km	Yalgoo, Geraldton Sandplains	Shire of Northampton, Shire of Chapman Valley, Shire of Mullewa				
	7	142.4 km	Geraldton Sandplains	Shire of Mullewa, Shire of Irwin, Shire of Carnamah				
	8	96.8 km	Geraldton Sandplains, Swan Coastal Plain	Shire of Coorow, Shire of Dandaragan, Shire of Gingin				
	9	127.7 km	Swan Coastal Plain	Shire of Gingin, Shire of Chittering, City of Swan, City of Belmont, Shire of Kalamunda, City of Gosnells, City of Armadale, City of Cockburn, Town of Kwinana				
	10	61.5 km	Swan Coastal Plain	Shire of Serpentine–Jarrahdale, Shire of Murray, Shire of Waroona				
Proposed tenure	Dam	pier to Bunbul		ne existing DBNGP easement, which is gazetted under the e easement identified as Easement A as shown on the				
DBNGP easement width	(Dan 30 m	The existing DBNGP corridor is 30 m wide. The area to be cleared and graded in the northern loops (Dampier to Muchea) will be approximately 30 m and south of Muchea; the area cleared will be 20 to 30 m. In environmentally sensitive areas, working widths will be 20 m. Additional easements may vary in width and all clearing will be subject to the conditions of the Ministerial Statement.						
Activities outside the DBNGP easement			osites, turkey nests*, laydov tercourse and dune crossin	wn areas, water supply sources, access roads, works gs.				
Temporary area of disturbance within DBNGP easement	Appr	oximately 3,17	75 ha, all to be rehabilitated	in consultation with landowners.				
Estimated area of vegetation clearing within DBNGP easement	Appr	Approximately 1,264 ha, all to be rehabilitated in consultation with landowners.						
Temporary area of disturbance outside the DBNGP easement	Appr	Approximately 139 ha, all to be rehabilitated in consultation with landowners.						
Construction duration	subs	The Stage 5 Expansion will be constructed in stages, with Stage 5A commencing in February 2007. The subsequent stages will be constructed to match the increasing demand in fuel gas, and full looping is expected to be substantially completed within five years of approval.						
Construction workforce	Up to	o 900 people						
			ges constructed by hollowin	g out an area of land and using the fill to build up its sides.				

## Table 1: Key characteristics of the Stage 5 Looping Expansion



#### **1.3** Environmental approval to implement the project

DBP was granted environmental approval for the DBNGP Stage 5 Looping Expansion proposal under Part IV of the EP Act. The Minister for Environment approved the proposal on 13 December 2006 with the release of Statement No. 735, which includes environmental conditions under which the proposal is to be implemented. Of the 72 environmental conditions, the majority of conditions relate to the preparation and implementation of management plans addressing areas of potential environmental risk identified during the assessment.

The required management plans were prepared and consolidated as management protocols into a Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) (DBP 2011). The CEMP addressed a number of environmental factors additional to those required under the Statement, in order to meet the requirements of all environmental regulators.



## 2. FINAL STATUS

The DBNGP Stage 5 Looping Expansion was constructed in stages, the first of which was Stage 5A, which commenced in February 2007 and was completed in March 2008. Stage 5B was commenced in January 2009 and completed in November 2011.

The statistics related to implementation of the proposal as at 13 December 2013 is summarised in

Table 2: Of the total 1,270 km of the Stage 5 approved proposal, 1,011 km were constructed.

Table 2: Progress of DBNGP Stage 5 Looping Expansion Project

Leen	St	age 5A	Stage	5B
Loop	Loop Lengths (km)*	Status of Loops	Loop Lengths (km)*	Status of Loops
Loop 0	0.0	No construction in Loop 0 undertaken in Stage 5A	114.9	Complete
Loop 1	74.0	Complete	32.9	Complete
Loop 2	57.8	Complete	31.9	Complete
Loop 3	60.3	Complete	34.6	Complete
Loop 4	61.9	Complete	33.6	Complete
Loop 5	63.7	Complete	34.0	Complete
Loop 6	70.5	Complete	35.8	Complete
Loop 7	60.4	Complete	44.0	Complete
Loop 8	55.3	Complete	21.8	Complete
Loop 9	52.0	Complete	23.4	Complete
Loop 10	15.1	Complete	33.3	Complete
TOTAL	571.1		440.2	

Completion of Stage 5A was considered to be evidence of substantial commencement of the project as required under Condition 3–2, as reported in the 2007 Compliance Report (DBP 2008).

As outlined in the 2007 Compliance Report (DBP 2008), the above description satisfies the requirements of condition 4-3(8).

This report has been prepared to demonstrate compliance with the Statement conditions for the period from 14 December 2018 to 13 December 2019 inclusive. The report comprises the findings of an audit of compliance with:

- conditions and procedures contained within the Statement as required by Condition 4–3(2) of the Statement; and
- key actions contained within management plans or programs as required by Conditions 4–3(4) of the Statement.

As Stage 5A of the proposal was completed in March 2008 and Stage 5B was completed in November 2011, no construction activities were conducted during the reporting period 14 December 2018 to 13 December 2019.

There is no further plan to conduct construction works on the DBNGP under this Ministerial Statement 735.



## 3. AUDIT METHODOLOGY

### 3.1 Purpose and scope

The purpose of this document is to enable compliance with conditions 4–1 to 4–4 of Statement No. 735:

4–1 The proponent shall submit to the CEO environmental compliance reports annually reporting on the previous twelve–month period, unless required by the CEO to report more frequently.

Note: Annual reporting shall continue until such time as the Minister for the Environment determines on advice from the Environmental Protection Authority that the proponent has fulfilled its responsibilities in relation to the conditions within this Statement.

- 4–2 The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in a format acceptable to the CEO.
- 4–3 The environmental compliance reports shall:
  - 1. *be endorsed by signature of the proponent's Chairman or a person, approved in writing by the Chairman, delegated to sign on behalf of the proponent's Chairman;*
  - 2. *state whether the proponent has complied with each condition and procedure contained in this statement;*
  - 3. provide verifiable evidence of compliance with each condition and procedure contained in this statement;
  - 4. *state whether the proponent has complied with each key action contained in any environmental management plan or program required by this statement;*
  - 5. provide verifiable evidence of conformance with each key action contained in any environmental management plan or program required by this statement;
  - 6. *identify all non–compliances and non–conformances and describe the corrective and preventative actions taken in relation to each non–compliance or non–conformance;*
  - 7. provide an assessment of the effectiveness of all corrective and preventative actions taken; and
  - 8. describe the state of implementation of the proposal.
- 4–4 The proponent shall make the environmental compliance reports required by condition 4–1 publicly available in a manner approved by the CEO.

The report has been prepared by DBP for submission to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) to meet the requirements of condition 4–1 of Statement No. 735 for submission of an annual compliance report.

It is also noted that where a previous Compliance Report has indicated a condition was 'Completed' the evidence associated with the previous audit determination has been accepted without verification.

### 3.2 Methodology

The audit was conducted in February 2020.

The required reporting includes "whether the proponent has complied with each condition and procedure contained within the statement" and "conformed with each key action" (conditions 4-3(2) and 4-3(4) respectively). This section describes how compliance with each condition and conformance with key actions has been audited and reported.

Where evidence has been presented in previous Compliance Reports, it has not been included with this report to minimise the bulk of reporting. Audit results relating to the Statement conditions and key actions are summarised in Section 4 and detailed audit results presented in Section 5. Completed conditions have not been included in the audit table.

There were no potential non-compliances have been identified during the audit.



#### Compliance with Statement No. 735

An audit of compliance with the conditions and procedures of the Statement was conducted and a compliance status rating was applied in accordance with terminology described in Section 3.3. The full audit is presented in Section 5.1.

#### Conformance with key actions

Condition 4–3(4) requires DBP to report on conformance with each key action contained within any management plan or program required by Statement No. 735. The conformance status rating was also applied in accordance with the Section 3.3 terminology.

Environmental management is primarily achieved through implementation of the CEMP, which contains a number of management protocols<sup>1</sup> that directly relate to all the construction requirements of the Statement conditions. These protocols include a range of additional specific management actions reflecting good management. A number of the management actions within the CEMP directly reflect specific prescriptive conditions within the Statement.

Key actions from the CEMP have been identified as being:

- those management actions in the CEMP protocols implemented to manage a condition of the Statement; and
- those management actions in CEMP protocols that reflect a key intent of a condition of the Statement.

Using the above definition, key actions have been derived and audited. These key actions have been adopted for all compliance reporting to date.

#### *Corrective and preventative actions*

Statement conditions 4–3(6) and 4–3(7) require descriptions of corrective and preventative actions taken in relation to each non–compliance or non–conformance, together with assessments of their effectiveness. These are presented in Section 4.2.

#### Evidence verification

Evidence to substantiate compliance with conditions contained within Statement No. 735 and key actions contained within the management plans or programs as required by conditions 4-3(3) and 4-3(5) has been sourced from previous compliance reports and other documentation.

#### 3.3 Audit terminology

The 'Status' field of the audit table (refer to Section 5) describes the implementation of the action and compliance with the condition, procedure or commitment. Although the CEO of the Office of Environmental Protection Authority (OEPA) makes the final determination of compliance, it is necessary to update this field each reporting period, as the project progresses. The OEPA (2012a, 2012b, 2012c and 2012d) has prepared updated guidance related to the preparation of compliance audits, including generic expressions that are used to identify the status of each item (Table 3).

Status	Description
Compliant (Conformant)	Implementation of the proposal has been carried out in accordance with requirements of the audit. (Conformant – as above in relation to actions of management plans / programmes)
Completed	A requirement with a finite period of application has been satisfactorily completed.
Not required at this stage	The requirements of the audit element were not triggered during the reporting period.
Potentially non-compliant (Potentially non- conformant)	Possible or likely failure to meet the requirements of the audit element. (Potentially non-conformant – as above in relation to actions of management plans / programmes)
In process	Where an audit element requires a management or monitoring plan be submitted to the OEPA or another government agency for approval, that submission has been made and no further information or changes have been requested by the OEPA or the other government agency and assessment by the OEPA or other government agency for approval is still pending.

#### Table 3: Action implementation status (Source: adapted from DWER Statement of Compliance)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The management protocols in the CEMP include all the environmental management plans required under Statement No. 735 to be prepared for implementation of the proposal.



## 4. AUDIT RESULTS

This report has been prepared to address the status and compliance of the proposal with the conditions in the Statement in accordance with the annual compliance reporting requirements of conditions 4-1 to 4-4 of the Statement. This report addresses the 18 open (not previously reported as completed) conditions.

### 4.1 Compliance with Statement No 735 conditions

The detailed results of the audit of Statement 735 are presented in Section 5.1 (Table 4). Condition 1-1 of Statement 735 requires implementation of the proposal as documented in Schedule 1 of the Statement. As no construction occurred during this audit period and a previous audit (Strategen 2014) determined that there were no potential non-compliances with Schedule 1, this has been reviewed but is not deemed relevant during this audit period.

### (a) Rehabilitation

As per last year's report, rehabilitation is recommended for closure and was supported by a briefing note prepared by Mattiske Consulting, a botanical specialist. All rehabilitation conditions are deemed to have been met.

## (b) Conformance with key actions

Condition 4-3(4) of Statement No. 735 requires the proponent to demonstrate compliance with key actions contained in any environmental management plan or program required by the Statement. As the key actions all relate to construction activities and no such activities were undertaken during the report period, this condition is considered to be Not Applicable (N/A) to this report.

## 4.2 Corrective actions

No corrective actions have been undertaken as there were no non-compliances identified in this audit period.



## 5. AUDIT TABLES

## 5.1 Statement No. 735 Summary Audit Tables

Table 4 has been produced to meet condition 4–3(2) of Statement No. 735.

## Table 4: Results of audit of Statement No. 735

Audit code	Subject	Action	How	Objective	Phase/Timing	Criteria	Evidence	Finding
735:M1.1	Implementation	Implement the proposal as documented and described in schedule 1 of Statement 735 subject to the conditions and procedures of this statement.	Establish and implement an auditing compliance reporting system.	To avoid unforeseen or unassessed impacts	Overall	-	R_004_2011 DBNGP Stage 5 looping expansion project AECR_01072012 R_001_DBNGP Stage 5 Looping Expansion Project 2013 Annual Environmental Compliance Report (Statement No. 735)_01032014 DBNGP Stage 5 Looping Expansion Project 2016 Annual Compliance Report (Statement No. 735) DBNGP MS735 5 Year Performance Report (2017)	Refer to th (Strategen as describe No addition audit perio The impler operationa
735:M2.1	Nominated proponent	Implement the proposal until such time as the Minister for the Environment nominates another person as the proponent.	Establish and implement auditing compliance reporting system.	To ensure responsibility rests with the nominated proponent	Overall	Has Minister nominated another person as proponent?	DBNGP Stage 5 Looping Expansion Project 2016 Annual Compliance Report (Statement No. 735)	DBP remai
735:M2.2	Proponent contact details	Notify the Chief Executive Officer of the DWER (CEO) of any change of the contact name and address for the serving of a notice or other correspondence within 30 days of such change.	Written notification.	To enable the DEC to maintain contact with the proponent	Overall	Has the contact name and address for the serving of a notice or other correspondence for the project changed since approval; if so, was the Chief Executive Officer of the DEC (CEO) informed within 30 days of such change.	Website and ASIC records indicate that contact name and address have not changed since Loop 10 compliance audit in 2008.	The contac
735:M4.1	Compliance reporting - submitting	Submit to the CEO environmental compliance reports reporting on the previous twelve-month period. (Annual Environmental Compliance Report [AECR])	Reports shall address that required by condition 4-2 and condition 4-3.	To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented and conditions are being met	Overall Annually unless required by the CEO to report more frequently. Annual reporting shall continue until such time as the Minister for the Environment determines on advice from the EPA that the proponent has fulfilled its responsibilities in relation to the conditions within this statement.	All previous Annual Compliance reports	DBNGP Stage 5 Looping Expansion Project 2018 Annual Compliance Report (Statement No. 735)	A signed c was provid 14 Decemb This audit requiremen 2018 to 13



	Status
the 2011 Annual Compliance Report (ACR) en 2012a) with respect to implementation ibed in Schedule 1 of Statement No. 735. ional construction has occurred since the riod reported in the 2013 ACR. lementation of the project is now in nal phase rather than construction.	Compliant
ains the proponent	Compliant
act address for DBP has not changed.	Compliant
copy of the 2018 ACR dated March 2019 vided. The report covered the period from mber 2017 to 13 December 2018. it report is intended to satisfy the nents for the period from 14 December 13 December 2019.	Compliant

Audit code	Subject	Action	How	Objective	Phase/Timing	Criteria	Evidence	Finding
735:M4.3	Annual environmental compliance reporting - preparation	<ul> <li>The environmental compliance reports shall:</li> <li>1. Be endorsed by signature of the proponent's Chairman or a person, approved in writing by the Chairman, delegated to sign on behalf of the proponent's Chairman.</li> <li>2. State whether the proponent has complied with each condition and procedure contained in Statement 735.</li> <li>3. Provide verifiable evidence of compliance with each condition and procedure contained in Statement 735.</li> <li>4. State compliance with each key action contained in any environmental management plan or program required by Statement 735.</li> <li>5. Provide verifiable evidence of conformance with each key action contained in any environmental management plan or program required by Statement 735.</li> <li>6. Identify all non-compliances and non-conformances and describe the corrective and preventative actions taken in relation to each non-compliance or non-conformance.</li> <li>7. Provide an assessment of the effectiveness of all corrective and preventative actions taken.</li> <li>8. Describe the state of implementation of the proposal.</li> </ul>	Reports presented to satisfy this condition with respect to the content.	To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented and conditions are being met	Overall	2013 ACR covering period 14 Dec 2012 to 13 Dec 2013 2014 ACR covering period 14 Dec 2013 to 13 Dec 2014 2015 ACR covering period 14 Dec 2014 to 13 Dec 2015) 2016 ACR covering period 14 Dec 2015 to 13 Dec 2016 2017 ACR covering period 14 Dec 2016 to 13 Dec 2017 2018 ACR covering period 14 Dec 2017 to 13 Dec 2018 2019 ACR covering period 14 Dec 2018 to 13 Dec 2019 (this report)	R_001_DBNGP Stage 5 Looping Expansion Project 2013 Annual Environmental Compliance Report (Statement No. 735)_01032014	The Annua accordance Condition a Guidelines No request post submi
735:M4.4	Annual environmental compliance reporting – public availability	Make the environmental compliance reports (AECRs) publicly available.	<ol> <li>Carry out the following:</li> <li>Provide copies of the documentation to the DEC library</li> <li>Post the document on the proponent's website.</li> </ol>	To ensure that the public is kept informed of the proposal and compliance with conditions	Overall Annually	As approved by the CEO.	http://www.dbp.net.au/hse/environment/	The ACR's company's



	Status
ual Compliance Reports are developed in nee with the requirements under this n and in line with OEPA Post Assessment es (PAG 1 and PAG 3).	Compliant
ests for further information were received mission.	
's for the current period is provided on the /s website to enable public availability.	Compliant

Audit code	Subject	Action	How	Objective	Phase/Timing	Criteria	Evidence	Finding
735:M5.1	Performance review – prepare and submit	<ul> <li>Submit a Performance Review report every five years after the start of construction to the EPA, which addresses:</li> <li>1. The major environmental issues associated with implementing the project; the environmental objectives for those issues; the methodologies used to achieve these; and the key indicators of environmental performance measured against those objectives.</li> <li>2. The level of progress in the achievement of sound environmental performance, including industry benchmarking, and the use of best available technology where practicable.</li> <li>3. Significant improvements gained in environmental management, including the use of external peer reviews.</li> <li>4. Stakeholder and community consultation about environmental performance and the outcomes of that consultation, including a report of any on-going concerns being expressed.</li> <li>5. The proposed environmental objectives over the next five years, including improvements in technology and management processes.</li> </ul>	The Performance Review report will address the required actions.	To provide evidence of environmental performance and to identify aspects that may require environmental improvements	Overall Every 5 years after the start of construction		2017 Stage 5 MS735 5 Yr Performance Review	The 2007 Al construction DBNGP Stag Performance 2012b) was (previous au A 5 year rev submitted to
735:M5.2	Performance review – public availability	Make the Performance Review reports publicly available.	<ul><li>Carry out the following:</li><li>1. Provide copies of the documentation to the DEC library</li><li>2. Post the document on the proponent's website</li></ul>	To ensure that the public is kept informed of the environmental performance of the proponent	Overall Every 5 years after the start of construction	-	http://www.dbp.net.au/hse/environment/	The 2012-20 Review is pr enable publi
735:M7.4	Flora and Vegetation Management Plan – public availability	Make the Flora and Vegetation Management Plan publicly available.	The revised requirement for making the Flora and Vegetation Management plan publicly available is as follows: Carry out the following: 1) Provide a hard copy to the DEC library and 2) Make the Management Plan available on the DBNGP website	To ensure the public is kept informed	Overall	-	R_002_DBNGP Stage 5 Expansion Looping Project Construction Environmental Management Plan_17082011 DBP website copy at <u>http://www.dbp.net.au/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/2015/01/20110817-E-PLN-</u> <u>015-2-Stage-5B-CEMP.pdf</u>	A flora and contained w The CEMP is No revision
735:M9.16	Fauna Management Plan – public availability	Make the Fauna Management Plan and subsequent revisions publicly available.	The revised requirement for making the Fauna Management plan publicly available is as follows: Carry out the following: 1) Provide a hard copy to the DEC library and 2) Make the Management Plan available on the DBNGP website.	To ensure the public is kept informed	Overall	-	R_002_DBNGP Stage 5 Expansion Looping Project Construction Environmental Management Plan_17082011 DBP website copy at <u>http://www.dbp.net.au/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/2015/01/20110817-E-PLN-</u> <u>015-2-Stage-5B-CEMP.pdf</u>	Within the a 'Fauna Impa available on No revisions



]	Status
07 AECR noted commencement of Juction in February 2007. P Stage 5 Looping Expansion Project Five Year nance Review (2007-2012) (Strategen ) was provided to the OEPA on 3 August 2012 ous audit period) ar review was undertaken in 2017 and ted to DWER as required.	Compliant
12-2017 Stage 5 MS735 5 Yr Performance is provided on the company's website to public availability.	Compliant
and vegetation management protocol is ned within the approved CEMP (DBP 2011). EMP is available on the DBP website. ision was required during this audit period.	Compliant
the approved CEMP is a section relating to Impact Protocol'. This information is publicly le on the DBP website. isions occurred during this audit period.	Compliant

Audit code	Subject	Action	How	Objective	Phase/Timing	Criteria	Evidence	Finding
735:M11.4	Watercourse Crossing Management Plan – public availability	Make the Watercourse Crossing Management Plan publicly available	The revised requirement for making the Watercourse Crossing Management Plan publicly available is as follows: Carry out the following: 1) Provide a hard copy to the DEC library and 2) Make the Management Plan available on the DBNGP website.	To ensure the public is kept informed	Overall	-	R_002_DBNGP Stage 5 Expansion Looping Project Construction Environmental Management Plan_17082011 DBP website copy at http://www.dbp.net.au/wp- content/uploads/2015/01/20110817-E-PLN- 015-2-Stage-5B-CEMP.pdf	Within the 'Watercour publicly av No revision
735:M12.4	Wetland Crossing Management Plan – public availability	Make the Wetland Crossing Management Plan publicly available.	The revised requirement for making the Wetland Crossing Management Plan publicly available is as follows: Carry out the following: 1) Provide a hard copy to the DEC library and 2) Make the Management Plan available on the DBNGP website.	To ensure the public is kept informed	Overall	-	R_002_DBNGP Stage 5 Expansion Looping Project Construction Environmental Management Plan_17082011 DBP website copy at <u>http://www.dbp.net.au/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/2015/01/20110817-E-PLN-</u> <u>015-2-Stage-5B-CEMP.pdf</u>	Within the 'Wetland N publicly av No revision
735:M13.5	Dieback and Weed Management Plan – public availability	Make the Weed and Dieback Management Plan and any subsequent revisions required by condition 13-3 publicly available.	The revised requirement for making the Weed and Dieback Management Plan publicly available is as follows: Carry out the following: 1) Provide a hard copy to the DEC library and 2) Make the Management Plan available on the DBNGP website.	To ensure the public is kept informed	Overall	-	R_002_DBNGP Stage 5 Expansion Looping Project Construction Environmental Management Plan_17082011 DBP website copy at http://www.dbp.net.au/wp- content/uploads/2015/01/20110817-E-PLN- 015-2-Stage-5B-CEMP.pdf	Within the 'Weed, Pee This inform website. No revision
735:M14.5	Rehabilitation Management Plan – public availability	Make the Rehabilitation Management Plan and subsequent revisions publicly available.	The revised requirement for making the Rehabilitation Management Plan publicly available is as follows: Carry out the following: 1) Provide a hard copy to the DEC library and 2) Make the Management Plan available on the DBNGP website.	To ensure the public is kept informed	Overall	-	C_007_OEPA_DBNGP Stage 5 Looping Expansion Project - Rehabilitation Management Plan - Ministerial Statement 735_04022015 DBP website copy at http://www.dbp.net.au/wp- content/uploads/2015/01/20150121-E-PLN- 016-5-Stage-5-Rehabilitation-MP.pdf	The Rehat publically a No revision
735:M15.6	Acid Sulphate Soils and Dewatering Management Plan – public availability	Make the Acid Sulphate Soils and Dewatering Management Plan and subsequent revisions publicly available.	The revised requirement for making the Acid Sulphate Soils and Dewatering Management Plan publicly available is as follows: Carry out the following: 1) Provide a hard copy to the DEC library and 2) Make the Management Plan available on the DBNGP website.	To ensure the public is kept informed	Overall	-	R_002_DBNGP Stage 5 Expansion Looping Project Construction Environmental Management Plan_17082011 DBP website copy at http://www.dbp.net.au/wp- content/uploads/2015/01/20110817-E-PLN- 015-2-Stage-5B-CEMP.pdf	Within the 'Acid Sulph information website. No revision



	Status
ne approved CEMP is a section relating to ourse Crossing Protocol'. This information is available on the DBP website. ions occurred during this audit period.	Compliant
ne approved CEMP is a section relating to I Management Protocol'. This information is available on the DBP website. ions occurred during this audit period.	Compliant
ne approved CEMP is a section relating to Pest and Dieback Management Protocol'. Irmation is publicly available on the DBP ions occurred during this audit period.	Compliant
abilitation Management Plan is made y available through the DBP website. ions occurred during the audit period.	Compliant
ne approved CEMP is a section relating to phate Soil Management Protocol'. This ion is publicly available on the DBNGP ions occurred during this audit period.	Compliant

Audit code	Subject	Action	How	Objective	Phase/Timing	Criteria	Evidence	Finding
735:M16.2	Final Decommissioning Plan – prepare and submit	At least 12 months prior to the anticipated date of closure, or at a time approved by the EPA, submit a Final Decommissioning Plan designed to ensure that the site is left in an environmentally acceptable condition prepared on advice of the EPA, for approval of the CEO.	<ul> <li>The Final Decommissioning Plan shall set out procedures and measures for:</li> <li>1. Removal or, if appropriate, retention of plant and infrastructure agreed in consultation with relevant stakeholders.</li> <li>2. Rehabilitation of all disturbed areas to a standard suitable for the agreed new land use(s).</li> <li>3. Identification of contaminated area, including provision of evidence of notification and proposed management measures to relevant statutory authorities.</li> </ul>	To ensure that the site is left in an environmentally acceptable condition	Overall At least 12 months prior to anticipated closure	Criteria established by condition 16-2	C_008_DBP_Asset Life_03082012	Not yet relev anticipated o
735:M16.3	Final Decommissioning Plan - implementation	Implement the Final Decommissioning Plan until such time as the Minister for the Environment determines, on advice of the CEO, that decommissioning responsibilities have been fulfilled.	-	To ensure that the Final Decommissioning Plan is implemented	Closure	-	C_008_DBP_Asset Life_03082012	Not yet relev
735:M16.4	Final Decommissioning Plan – public availability	Make the Final Decommissioning Plan publicly available.	The revised requirement for making the Final Decommissioning Plan publicly available is as follows: Carry out the following: 1) Provide a hard copy to the DEC library and 2) Make the Management Plan available on the DBNGP website.	To ensure the public is kept informed	Overall	-	C_008_DBP_Asset Life_03082012	Not yet relev



]	Status
t relevant (not within 12 months of ated closure).	Not required at this stage
t relevant (closure phase).	Not required at this stage
t relevant.	Not required at this stage



# 6. STATEMENT REGARDING COMPLIANCE

The audit identified no non compliances against MS735 for the 2019 reporting period. A Statement of Compliance is included as Appendix A.



## 7. REFERENCES

DBP 2008, DBNGP Stage 5 Looping Expansion Project - DBPL00-506-0504-01 2007 Annual Environmental Compliance Report (Ministerial Statement No. 735), report prepared for DBNGP (WA) Nominees Pty Ltd by Strategen, Leederville, Western Australia.

DBP 2011, DBNGP Stage 5 Expansion Looping Project DBPL-00-501-0722-01 Construction Environmental Management Plan – Controlled Version 2, report prepared for DBNGP (WA) Nominees Pty Ltd, August 2011.

DBP 2015, Dampier to Bunbury Natural Gas Pipeline Stage 5 Expansion Rehabilitation Management Plan Revision 5, January 2015.

Office of Environmental Protection Authority (OEPA) 2012a, Post Assessment Guideline for Preparing a Compliance Assessment Plan, OEPA, Perth, August 2012.

Office of Environmental Protection Authority (OEPA) 2012b, Post Assessment Guideline for Preparing an Audit Table, OEPA, Perth, August 2012.

Office of Environmental Protection Authority (OEPA) 2012c, Post Assessment Guideline for Making Information Publically Available, OEPA, Perth, August 2012.

Office of Environmental Protection Authority (OEPA) 2012d, Post Assessment Guideline for Preparing a Compliance Assessment Report, OEPA, Perth, August 2012.

Strategen 2006, Dampier-Bunbury Natural Gas Pipeline Stage 5 Expansion – Environmental Impact Assessment, report prepared for Alinta Asset Management by Strategen, Leederville, Western Australia.

Strategen 2008, Dampier-Bunbury Natural Gas Pipeline Stage 5 Expansion – Loop 10 Compliance Audit, report prepared for Westnet Energy, December 2008

Strategen 2012a, DBNGP Stage 5 Looping Expansion Project- 2011 Annual Environmental Compliance Report (Statement No. 735), report prepared for DBNGP (WA) Nominees Pty Ltd, July 2012

Strategen 2012b, Dampier Bunbury Natural Gas Pipeline Stage 5 Looping Expansion Project Five-year Performance Review (2007-2012), report prepared for DBNGP (WA) Nominees Pty Ltd, August 2012.

Strategen 2017, Dampier Bunbury Natural Gas Pipeline Stage 5 Looping Expansion Project Five-year Performance Review (2012-2017), report prepared for DBNGP (WA) Nominees Pty Ltd, August 2017.

APPENDIX A: STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

## **Statement of Compliance**

## 1. Proposal and Proponent Details

Proposal TitleDampier to Bunbury Natural Gas Pipeline Stage 5 Expansion			
Statement Number	735		
Proponent Name	DBNGP (WA) Nominees Pty Limited		
Proponent's Australian Company Number (where relevant)	081 609 289		

## 2. Statement of Compliance Details

Reporting Period	13/12/18 to 12/12/19	

Implementation pha	se(s) during reporting p	period (please tick	✓ rel	evant phase(s))
Pre-construction	Construction	Operation	~	Decommissioning

Audit Table for Statement addressed in this Statement of Compliance is provided at Attachment:

Appendix A

An audit table for the Statement addressed in this Statement of Compliance must be provided as Attachment 2 to this Statement of Compliance. The audit table must be prepared and maintained in accordance with the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) *Post Assessment Guideline for Preparing an Audit Table*, as amended from time to time. The 'Status Column' of the audit table must accurately describe the compliance status of each implementation condition and/or procedure for the reporting period of this Statement of Compliance. The terms that may be used by the proponent in the 'Status Column' of the audit table are limited to the Compliance Status Terms listed and defined in Table 1 of Attachment 1.

Were all implementation conditions and/or within the reporting period? (please tick ✓ the second se	procedures of the Statement complied with ne appropriate box)
No (please proceed to Section 3)	Yes (please proceed to Section 4)

Each page (including Attachment 2) must be initialed by the person who signs Section 4 of this Statement of Compliance.

## 3. Details of Non-compliance(s) and/or Potential Non-compliance(s)

The information required Section 3 must be provided for each non-compliance or potential non-compliance identified during the reporting period covered by this Statement of Compliance.

## Non-compliance/potential non-compliance 3-1

Which implementation condition or procedure was	non-compliant or potentially non-compliant?
Was the implementation condition or procedure no	n-compliant or potentially non-compliant?
On what date(s) did the non-compliance or potentia	al non-compliance occur (if applicable)?
Was this non-compliance or potential non-compliance	nce reported to the Chief Executive Officer,
□ Yes □ Reported to DWER verbally Date	I No
What are the details of the non-compliance or pote extent of and impacts associated with the non-com What is the precise location where the non-complia	ance or potential non-compliance?
applicable)? (please provide this information as a r What was the cause(s) of the non-compliance or p	
What remedial and/or corrective action(s), if any, w response to the non-compliance or potential non-c	
What measures, if any, were in place to prevent th before it occurred? What, if any, amendments have occurrence?	
<ul> <li>Please provide information/documentation collecter condition or procedure:</li> <li>in the reporting period addressed in this Statement of Compliance As this Statement of Compliance.</li> </ul>	

(the above information may be provided as an attachment to this Statement of Compliance)

For additional non-compliance or potential non-compliance, please duplicate this page as required.

Each page (including Attachment 2) must be initialed by the person who signs Section 4 of this Statement of Compliance. INITIALS:

## 4. Proponent Declaration

I, Ben Wilson, (CEO) declare that I am authorised on behalf of DBNGP (WA) Nominees Pty Limited *(being the person responsible for the proposal)* to submit this form and that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.

Signature:...

Date: 24/3/20

Please note that:

- it is an offence under section 112 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* for a person to give or cause to be given information that to his knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular; and
- the Chief Executive Officer of the DWER has powers under section 47(2) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* to require reports and information about implementation of the proposal to which the statement relates and compliance with the implementation conditions.

## 5. Submission of Statement of Compliance

One hard copy and one electronic copy (preferably PDF on CD or thumb drive) of the Statement of Compliance are required to be submitted to the Chief Executive Officer, DWER, marked to the attention of Manager, Compliance (Ministerial Statements).

Please note, the DWER has adopted a procedure of providing written acknowledgment of receipt of all Statements of Compliance submitted by the proponent, however, the DWER does not approve Statements of Compliance.

### 6. Contact Information

Queries regarding Statements of Compliance, or other issues of compliance relevant to a Statement may be directed to Compliance (Ministerial Statements), DWER:

### Manager, Compliance (Ministerial Statements)

Department of Water and Environmental Regulation

Postal Address:Locked Bag 10<br/>Joondalup DC<br/>WA 6919Phone:(08) 6364 7000Email:compliance@dwer.wa.gov.au

## 7. Post Assessment Guidelines and Forms

Post assessment documents can be found at www.epa.wa.gov.au

## **ATTACHMENT 1**

## Table 1 Compliance Status Terms

Compliance Status Terms	Abbrev	Definition	Notes
Compliant	С	Implementation of the proposal has been carried out in accordance with the requirements of the audit element.	<ul> <li>This term applies to audit elements with:</li> <li>ongoing requirements that have been met during the reporting period; and</li> <li>requirements with a finite period of application that have been met during the reporting period, but whose status has not yet been classified as 'completed'.</li> </ul>
Completed	CLD	A requirement with a finite period of application has been satisfactorily completed.	<ul> <li>This term may only be used where:</li> <li>audit elements have a finite period of application (e.g. construction activities, development of a document);</li> <li>the action has been satisfactorily completed; and</li> <li>the DWER has provided written acceptance of 'completed' status for the audit element.</li> </ul>
Not required at this stage	NR	The requirements of the audit element were not triggered during the reporting period.	This should be consistent with the 'Phase' column of the audit table.
Potentially Non-compliant	PNC	Possible or likely failure to meet the requirements of the audit element.	This term may apply where during the reporting period the proponent has identified a potential non-compliance and has not yet finalized its investigations to determine whether non-compliance has occurred.
Non-compliant	NC	Implementation of the proposal has not been carried out in accordance with the requirements of the audit element.	This term applies where the requirements of the audit element are not "complete" have not been met during the reporting period.
In Process	IP	Where an audit element requires a management or monitoring plan be submitted to the DWER or another government agency for approval, that submission has been made and no further information or changes have been requested by the DWER or the other government agency and assessment by the DWER or other government agency for approval is still pending.	The term 'In Process' may not be used for any purpose other than that stated in the Definition Column. The term 'In Process' may not be used to describe the compliance status of an implementation condition and/or procedure that requires implementation throughout the life of the project (e.g. implementation of a management plan).